

Transcendence of e^e

Author: Renzo Diomedì

The method here used to prove the transcendence of $\exp(e)$ is very similar to the one already used to demonstrate the transcendence of $\exp(\text{algebraic number})$ (1).

$$I(t) = \int_0^t e^{t-x} f(x) dx$$

is a holomorphic function, differentiable over the complex numbers (2) in every point of its domain, and its integrand is a polynomial of a certain degree m , in which the exponent of the denominator slides all domain values $[0, t]$

Its input variables are conjugates indexed by a table r_s made of r =rows and s =columns compatible with the modular form (3)

We exploit all the properties of complex conjugation including those about the odd-degree polynomials, in accordance with the complex conjugate root theorem.

We assume $t = \cos(k\theta) - i \sin(k\theta)$, i.e. a conjugate in polar form, with k integer.

Each of the infinite values that θ can assume, is closely related to π or its multiple or its fraction. For this reason we must assume that the value of the multiple or the value of the fraction of π can also be non-algebraic. Then e^θ returns algebraic values, (4)

Integrating by parts and assuming $y = t - x$; $d(-y) = dx$, we get:

$$-e^{-y} f(t-y) + \int_0^t e^{-y} f'(t-y) dy \quad \text{then } [-e^{-y} f^j(t-y)]^{t-0} \quad \text{then}$$

$$I(t) = -e^{-t} \sum_{j=0}^m f^j(0) - \sum_{j=0}^m f^j(t)$$

with m = degree of f and f^j = j-th derivative of f .

Let a symmetric polynomial $a_0 + a_1 e^{-i\theta} + a_2 e^{-2i\theta} + \dots + a_n e^{-ni\theta} = f_h = \prod_{q=1}^n (e^{-ik\theta} - wx_q)^p / (e^{-ik\theta} - wx_h)$

with degree $np - 1$, with $h \in [q]$, $1 \leq q \leq n$, $0 \leq k \leq n$, and p is a Prime sufficiently large. x_q are distinct algebraic complex conjugate linearly independent. Appropriate coefficients a_k and w make x_q root of f . This polynomial is never negative.

Then we use next polynomial with a_0, \dots, a_n integers non-zero, to verify the possibility of existence of an algebraic result $J = a_0 I(0) + a_1 I(1) + \dots + a_n I(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k I(k) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \left(\sum_{j=0}^m -e^{eik\theta} f^j(0) - \sum_{j=0}^m f^j(e^{-ik\theta}) \right) = - \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n a_k f^j(e^{-ik\theta})$ (derivative of $f(0) = 1 = \text{null}$).

Considering that $f^{p-1}(0) = (p-1)!$ by $p-1$ derivations, and assuming $X = e^{-ik\theta} - x_q$, we can extract from f , by $p-1$ derivations, the polynomial $X^{(n-1)p}((p-1)! + (n-1)p!)$, then, the minimal polynomial $(p-1)! X^{(n-1)p}$ is divisible by $(p-1)!$ and it follows that $|J| > (p-1)!$

$$\prod_{h=1}^n |J_h| > I(t)$$

Considering that a , n and t have not infinite values, so $\prod_{h=1}^n |J_h| > I(t)$ is defined in a bounded set, therefore there must be a number greater than J . This number could be an arbitrary c^p . So we have :

$$|J| > (p-1)! > c^p > |J|$$

(1)

let us avoid the immediate and trivial demonstration : $e^e = e^{n+1} = e^{\text{algebraic number}}$

(2)

The use of complex numbers ensures that every non-constant polynomial has a root, since the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra states that every non-constant polynomial with coefficients in \mathbf{C} , has zeros in \mathbf{C} , false in \mathbf{R} (typical instance: x^2 has zero in complex numbers only).

(3)

a redundancy of complex numbers in upper half-plane in which each point of each of the two axes is intersected by a two-dimensional table composed of complex numbers, id est an object in four spatial dimensions, which returns only positive values, not drawable on graph.

(4)

So, we get $\exp(\text{algebraic number})$ again!